

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,445	03/01/2004	Liu Kuang Hong	14263 B 3438		
36672	7590 05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
	E. BAXLEY, ESQ.	AN, SANG WOOK			
90 JOHN STREET THIRD FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10038			1732		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	·	Applicant(s)					
		10/791,445		HONG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Sang W. An		1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> .								
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	')☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
					0				
Attachment(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/791,445 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Steps 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 repeat the steps twice within each step. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzgerald (6319438) in view of Smith et al (6319438).

Fitzgerald teaches choosing a rubber/thermoset/thermoplastic resin (col 2 lines 20-26, examiner notes that the resin inherently has a characteristic color); adding 0.1 to 5.0% by weight reflective flakes/pearl pigment (col 1 lines 49-52); mixing the reflective

Art Unit: 1732

flakes with the rubber (col 14 lines 64-68); grinding the mixture into desire-sized grains/powder (col 14 lines 67-68). Fizgerald also teaches using the powders to apply coating using electrostatic spraying and fluidized bed (col 15 lines 30-34) but does not teach vulcanizing and extruding the desired-sized grains. However, one in the ordinary skill in the art would know that extrusion would be another way to provide the coating. In support of this, Smith et al teach using extrusion method to coat a surface (col 4 lines 5-10). Examiner would like to note that during the extrusion process the rubber would inherently be vulcanized due to the heat from the extruder. The disclosed time of 4 minutes 30 seconds to 5 minutes 30 seconds is merely a result effective variable involving routine skill in the art. "In re Boesch," 617F.2d 272,205 USPQ215 (COPA 1980). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teachings of Smith et al in Fitzgerald's method of manufacturing lustrous rubber in order to eliminate the need for spray coating of molded automotive trim components (col 4 lines 10-14, col 1 lines 33-45).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang W. An whose telephone number is (571) 272-1997. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,445 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sang Wook An 7 Patent Examiner Art Unit 1732 April 18, 2006

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER